

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

REYES CONTRERAS MURCIA, et al.  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
CITY OF SANTA MONICA, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. 2:22-cv-05253-FLA (MARx)

**ORDER APPROVING  
STIPULATION TO VACATE  
DATES IN ADVANCE OF  
APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT  
[DKT. 57]**

1 On March 1, 2024, Plaintiffs Reyes Contreras Murcia and Sherman A.  
2 Perryman (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendants City of Santa Monica, Ramon Batista, David  
3 White, Matthew Lieb, and the Santa Monica Police Department filed a notice of  
4 conditional settlement and request to vacate all pretrial and trial dates (the  
5 “Stipulation”). Dkt. 57. The parties state they have reached a tentative settlement that  
6 would dispose of the entire litigation, but must first obtain approval from the City  
7 Council of the City of Santa Monica. *Id.* at 1–2.

8 The court, having considered the Stipulation, and finding good cause therefor,  
9 hereby APPROVES the Stipulation and ORDERS as follows:

10 • Plaintiffs’ Motion for Class Certification (Dkt. 51) is hereby deemed  
11 WITHDRAWN;  
12 • All pretrial, trial, and related dates are VACATED;  
13 • Within ninety (90) days of this Order, Plaintiffs shall file a Motion for  
14 Preliminary Approval of Proposed Class Action Settlement or the parties shall  
15 file a joint status report regarding why the motion has not been filed.

16  
17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18  
19 Dated: March 1, 2024

  
20 FERNANDO L. AENLLE-ROCHA  
21 United States District Judge  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28